

RIJEČ UREDNIŠTVA

NA KRAJU GODINE

Na kraju svake godine ostajemo začuđeni kako je brzo "proletjela", a da se, što bi narod rekao, gotovo "nismo ni okrenuli". Vrijeme je za izradu programa rada za iduću godinu, ali ponajprije za osvrt na izvršenje zacrtanih programa za ovu koja je na kraju. Možda će trebati samo prepisati prošlogodišnji program, jer što zbog objektivnih, a što subjektivnih razloga, ništa se nije promijenilo. U ovoj našoj uvodnoj riječi nastojimo naznačiti aktualne probleme struke, argumentirati ih i poticati rješenja, očekujući da će trenutno mjerodavni u struci uvažiti stavove struke, prenijeti ih i braniti ih na razini politike koja o svemu odlučuje. Prisjetit ćemo se o čemu smo u ovoj rubrici pisali u ovogodišnjih 5 dvobroja časopisa, pa da vidimo ako se "nismo ni okrenuli", da li smo se bar malo pokrenuli, ili ćemo zaista samo prepisati prošlogodišnji program rada.

U dvobroju 1–2 prisjetili smo se na našega dugogodišnjeg glavnog urednika Šumarskoga lista, profesora emeritusa dr. sc. Branimira Prpića, koji nas je napustio na prvi dan Nove 2012. godine. Dugi niz godina on je u ovoj rubrici ukazivao na aktualne probleme struke. Na te tekstove, smatrajući ih izuzetno značajnima, posebno smo se osvrnuli u rubrici Priznanja u tekstu Državna nagrada za znanost za 2010. god. – Nagrada za životno djelo prof. em. dr. sc. dr. h. c. Branimiru Prpiću. U tome prvome dvobroju ukazali smo i obrazložili, za šumu i hrvatsko šumarstvo, pogubnu odluku o smanjenju sredstava OKFŠ-a za 50 % te bojazan da se taj doprinos potpuno ukine.

U dvobroju 3–4 pod naslovom "Hrvatsko šumarstvo na raskrižju", ukazali smo ponajprije na neutemeljeno ignorirajući odnos politike prema struci i na ozbiljno nagriženo načelo zajedništva u donošenju dalekosežnih odluka za šume i šumarstvo. Kao primjer uzeli smo različita gledišta oko kanala Dunav–Sava, Natura 2000, zapošljavanja u šumarstvu i navodni višak radnika u odnosu na manjak poslova kojih ima ali ih ignoriramo, te napose na odnos znanja i podobnosti.

U dvobroju 5–6 posebno smo ukazali na nedostatak suvisle stragije u odnosima šumarstva i prerade drva i neprestanog razmimoilaženja kada je riječ o cijenama i načinu prodaje drvnih sortimenata, koje su po našem mišljenju glavni uzrok neekonomičnog i stručno nedozvoljenog korištenja visoko vrijedne sirovine i time rasipanja nacionalnog bogatstva. Cijena drvnih sortimenata glede učešće drva kao sirovine za proizvodnju finalnog visoko kvalitetnog drvnog proizvoda ne opravdava napade na navodno visoku cijenu sirovine, ali omogućuje laku zaradu, posebice pilanama te preradu visoko vrijednih drvnih sortimenata u poluproizvode najnižih kategorija. Napomenuli smo kako su upravo drvoprerađivači glavni korisnici FSC certifikata hrvatskih šuma, a sredstva OKFŠ-a trpaju u parafiskalne namete koje ne žele plaćati.

U dvobroju 7–8 pisali smo pak o problemu restrukturiranja trgovačkog društva Hrvatske šume d.o.o., koje se pokušava restrukturirati po "trećem receptu" nakon pokušaja s Ircima i Ekonomskim fakultetom. Nova su vremena, ali postoje i iskustva i uspješni recepti koje smo mi, a i države sa dužom šumarskom tradicijom provodili i sada provode, pa ne treba biti previše pametan za slično primijeniti. Rekli smo da nije bit restrukturiranja u šumarstvu u otpuštanju radnika, nego u neophodnom stručnom obavljanju propisanih poslova i širenju gospodarskih aktivnosti, ako su one sastavnica općeg napretka društva.

Upravo o današnjoj politici zapošljavanja u šumarstvu pisali smo u dvobroju 9–10, komparirajući profit i poslove koje je u šumarstvu nužno obavljati da bi šume ostale vječne, proizvođači dobra koja svi želimo koristiti, ali ne i plaćati.

Na kraju godine opetovano se nadamo da će se u nadolezaćoj godini glas struke više slušati, pa u nadi da će tako i biti, čitateljima Šumarskoga lista želimo Čestit Božić i sretnu i uspješnu 2013. godinu.

Uredništvo

EDITORIAL

AT THE END OF THE YEAR

At the end of a year we always wonder at how quickly it has "flown by"; one would say "in a blink of an eye". Now it is time for new action programmes for the upcoming year, but first and foremost it is time for the recapitulation of the current programmes. We may not even need to draw up new programmes; we might just as well copy the current ones. Namely, for reasons of either objective or subjective nature, almost none of the set activities have been realized. To make matters worse, this year's situation looks even bleaker than that of last year. One of the aims of this Editorial has always been to highlight the existing problems of the profession, argument them and seek solutions, with the expectation that the authorities will adopt the attitudes of the profession and defend them at the level of politics as the final decision-maker. Let us remind ourselves of the topics we discussed in this column in 5 bi-monthly journals issued this year, in order to see whether there has been at least modest progress or whether we will really be forced to simply copy last years' actions programme.

The bi-monthly issue 1–2 we commemorates Emeritus Professor Branimir Prpić, Ph.D., the long-time editor-in-chief of the Forestry Journal, who passed away on the first day of 2012 New Year. Over a number of years, Professor Prpić used this column to persistently explore the current problems of the profession. Particular mention was made of his outstandingly important texts in the column Acknowledgements, in the text State Award for Science for the year 2010 – Lifetime Achievement Award for Emeritus Professor Branimir Prpić, Ph.D. In the first issue we also elaborated on the detrimental decision to cut down on the means for non-market forest functions (hereinafter referred to as OKFŠ)) by 50% and on our fear that this fee would be completely abolished.

The text in the bi-monthly issue 3–4 entitled "Croatian Forestry at a Crossroad" discusses the unfounded and ignoring attitude of politics towards the profession and the seriously undermined principle of joint decision making regarding forests and forestry. Some examples that illustrated the problem included the Danube–Sava Canal, Natural 2000, employment in forestry, and the alleged surplus of workers

due to lack of jobs (there are jobs, but they are ignored), and particularly the relationship between knowledge and politically based employment.

The issue 5–6 addresses the non-existence of a coherent strategy in the relationship between forestry and timber processing, as well as persistent disagreements regarding the price and sales methods of wood assortments, which, in our opinion, leads to an un-economic and inadmissible use of highly valuable resource and consequently, lavish waste of the national wealth. The price of wood assortments in terms of the share of wood as raw material for the manufacture of high quality final wood products does not justify criticism of the allegedly high price of raw material, but brings easy profit to sawmills, as well as enables the conversion of highly valuable wood assortments into semi-products of the lowest categories. We particularly stressed that it is the wood processing subjects who are the principal users of the FSC certificates of Croatian forests, but at the same time they perceive the means for OKFŠ as parafiscal taxes which they are not prepared to pay.

The bi-monthly issue 7–8 explores the restructure of the company Hrvatske Šume Ltd. An attempt was made to restructure the company according to the "third recipe", after failures with the Irish and the Faculty of Economics. Although new times bring new changes, many countries with forestry traditions, including Croatia, still rely on their long-lasting experience and successful recipes. Therefore, all we need to do is compile these experiences. We stressed that the point of restructuring is not to lay off workers, but to implement the prescribed tasks and broaden the economic activities in a professional manner.

The current employment policy in forestry was discussed in the bi-monthly issue 9–10. We juxtaposed profit and all the operations intended to preserve the eternity of forests and enable them to produce goods which we all want to use but do not want to pay for.

We again hope that the voice of the profession will be heard more loudly in the upcoming year. With this hope in mind, we wish all the readers of the Forestry Journal Merry Christmas and a Very Happy and Successful New Year 2013.

Editorial Board